

Together we soar 🔨

Saint Mary's Catholic College

Assessment Policy

Years 10 to 12

2025 Edition

😿 A Brisbane Catholic Education School

Scope

This policy provides information for teachers, students and parents/carers about roles, responsibilities, processes and procedures to ensure the integrity of assessment that contributes to the Queensland Certificate of Education (QCE). The framework for the policy is developed from the *QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook* available from www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/senior/certificates-and-qualifications/qce-qcia-handbook-2019 and applies to Applied (Essential), General, General (Extension) subjects, and Short Courses across all faculties. It also applies to Vocational Education and Training Assignments.

Please note: Unit 1 is a stand-alone unit. Unit 2 is a stand-alone unit. Unit 3 and 4 are coupled together.

Purpose

Saint Mary's Catholic College is committed to an educational philosophy that encourages all students to achieve personal excellence by developing their talents and abilities. This policy is designed to build capacity as students work towards summative assessment completion for the QCE.

Principles

Saint Mary's Catholic College expectations for teaching, learning and assessment are grounded in the principles of academic integrity and excellence.

Assessment includes any examination, practical demonstration, performance or product that allows students to demonstrate the objectives as described by the syllabus. Assessment should be:

- aligned with curriculum and pedagogy
- equitable for all students
- evidence-based, using established standards and continua to make defensible and comparable judgements about students' learning
- transparent, to enhance professional and public confidence in the processes used, the information obtained and the decisions made
- informative of where students are in their learning.

High-quality assessment in characterised by three attributes:

- validity, through alignment with what is taught, learnt and assessed
- accessibility, so that each student is given opportunities to demonstrate what they know and can do
- reliability, so that assessment results are consistent, dependable or repeatable.

Promoting academic integrity

Saint Mary's Catholic College promotes academic integrity by developing students' skills and modelling appropriate academic practices. The following whole-school procedures support this endeavour.

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
Location and communication of policy	The school assessment policy is located on the school website at www.saintmarys.qld.gov.au All questions regarding this policy should be directed to the Assistant Principal Secondary. To ensure the assessment policy is consistently applied, it will be revisited at the beginning of each semester in classes. Relevant processes will be revisited: • at enrolment interviews • during SET planning • when the assessment schedule is published • when each task is handed to students • in the newsletter and by email in response to phases of the assessment cycle.
Expectations about engaging in learning and assessment Section 1.2.4 Section 2 Section 8.5.1	Saint Mary's Catholic College has high expectations for academic integrity and student participation and engagement in learning and assessment. Students become eligible for a QCE when they have accrued the set amount of learning, at the set standard, in a set pattern, while meeting literacy and numeracy requirements. Students are required to complete all course and assessment requirements on or before the due date for their results to contribute credit to the QCE.

	Teacher responsibility
	Teacher are expected to:
	 distribute assignment tasks to students in class explain the task to their class
	 teach the knowledge required for students to be able to complete the task
	 explain the marking criteria so that students understand what is required.
	Student responsibility
	Students are expected to:
	 engage in the learning for the subject or course of study
	 produce evidence of achievement that is authenticated as their own work
	 submit responses to scheduled assessment on or before the due date.
	To emphasise the importance of sound academic practices, staff and students will
Due detee	complete the QCAA academic integrity courses.
Due dates Section 8.5.2	School responsibility Saint Mary's Catholic College is required to adhere to QCAA policies for gathering
Section 8.5.3	evidence of student achievement on or before the due date.
	Due dates for final responses will be published in the assessment schedule. Due dates
	for checkpoints and drafts will be shown on the front of assessment items. All students
	will be provided with their assessment schedule by the end of Week 3.
	The second second second second second
	The assessment schedule will:
	 align with syllabus requirements provide sufficient working time for students to compete the task
	 provide sufficient working time for students to compete the task allow for internal quality assurance processes
	 allow for internal quality assurance processes enable timelines for QCAA quality assurance processes to be met
	 be clear to teachers, students and parents/carers
	 be consistently applied
	 be clearly communicated by end of Week 3 of each Unit and each term for Year
	10
	 give consideration to allocation of workload
	Student responsibility
	Students are responsible for:
	 recording draft dates and due dates in their diaries planning and managing their time to meet the due dates
	 planning and managing their time to meet the due dates informing the school as soon as possible if they have concerns about
	 Informing the school as soon as possible in they have concerns about assessment load and meeting due dates.
	In cases where students are unable to meet a due date, they will:
	 inform the Curriculum Leader and classroom teacher as soon as possible
	 provide the school with relevant documentation, e.g. medical certificate
	 adhere to alternative arrangements for submission of assessment, if applicable,
	as decided by the school.
	All final desisions are the minains? discustion Defends AADA information had
Submitting collecting	All final decisions are the principal's discretion. Refer to AARA information below. Assessment instruments will provide information about Saint Mary's Catholic College's
Submitting, collecting and storing	arrangements for submission of draft and final responses, including due dates,
assessment	conditions and file types.
information	
Section 9	Teachers will inform students on the method of submission be it through the Assignment
	letter box in the office or electronically through Turnitin in TEAMS. If assessment is
	submitted through the office, office staff will date and time stamp each submission and
	record submission on a class list for the relevant teacher and Curriculum Leader.
	For students in Vears 7.9, drafts and final written responses will be banded to class
	For students in Years 7-9, drafts and final written responses will be handed to class teachers on the due date. Teachers will ask students to sign a class list as they submit
	their assessment.

	All assessment evidence, including draft responses, will be submitted by their due date and where appropriate, via the College's academic integrity software – Turnitin in TEAMS on the portal.
	Draft and final responses for all internal assessment will be collected and stored in each student's folio. Live performance assessments will be recorded and stored as required for QCAA processes. All evidence used for making judgements is stored as described in Saint Mary's Catholic College's teacher handbook.
Appropriate materials	Saint Mary's Catholic College is a supportive and inclusive school. Material and texts
Section 7.1	are chosen with care in this context by students and staff.
Section 8.5.3	

Ensuring academic integrity Saint Mary's Catholic College promotes academic integrity by developing students' skills and modelling appropriate academic practices. Saint Mary's Catholic College has developed processes and procedures in line with QCAA guidelines to ensure that there is consistent application of the assessment policy and that staff and students optimise opportunities to understand academic integrity. The following whole-school procedures support this endeavour.

Internal assessmen	
QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
Scaffolding Section 7.2.1	 Scaffolding for assessment helps students understand the process for completing the task. Scaffolding will: maintain the integrity of the requirements of the task or assessment instrument allow for unique student responses and not lead to a predetermined response. Scaffolding may include: breaking a complex task, learning experience, concept or skill into discrete parts modelling thought processes required to complete parts of an assessment instrument pre-teaching vocabulary specific to the subject and assessment instrument questioning to develop students' conceptions, describe interpretations or challenge opinions that inform a response showing examples of responses and demonstrating the match to performance descriptors using visual frameworks or graphic organisers to plan responses.
	 Scaffolding for assessment instruments in Units 3 and 4 should refer to processes or presentation of the response. It should avoid repeating cognitions or the task description. Scaffolding may include: providing a timeline or checkpoints that students can use to manage completion of components of the assessment instrument guiding students to make predictions and/or reflect on their learning to complete the requirements of the assessment instrument providing prompts and cues for students about the requirements for their response. Across the phases of learning, students will gradually be given more responsibility for understanding the processes required to complete their tasks.
Checkpoints Section 8.5.3	 Checkpoints will: be detailed on student task sheets monitor student progress be used to establish student leadership. Students will work on assessment during designated times and show evidence of progress at scheduled checkpoints. Teachers will use these checkpoints to identify and support students to complete their assessment. Curriculum Leaders and parents/carers will be contacted if checkpoints are not met.

Internal assessment administration

Drafting Section 7.2.2 Section 8.3	Drafting is a key checkpoint. Types of drafts differ depending on subject, e.g. written draft, rehearsal of a performance piece, or a product in development. Drafts might be used as evidence of student achievement in the cases of illness or misadventure, or non-submission for other reasons.	
	Feedback on a draft is:	
	 provide on a maximum of one draft of each student's response 	
	 a consultative process that indicates aspects of the response to be improved or 	
	further developed	
	 delivered in a consistent manner and format for all students 	
	 provided within one week of a submission of a draft to support students with adherence to required length of assessment. 	
	Feedback on the draft must not:	
	 compromise the authenticity of a student response 	
	 introduce new ideas, language or research to improve the quality and integrity of the student work 	
	 the student work edit or correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and calculations 	
	 allocate a mark. 	
	A copy of the feedback will be stored with a hard copy of the draft in the student's folio.	
	Parents and caregivers will be notified by email about non-submission of drafts and the processes to be followed.	
Managing response	Students must adhere to assessment response lengths as specified by syllabus	
length Section 7.2.3	 documents. The procedures below support students to manage their response length. All assessment instruments indicate the required length of the response. 	
000000017.2.0	 Teaching and learning programs embed subject-specific strategies about 	
	responding purposefully within the prescribed conditions of the task.	
	 Model responses within the required length are available. 	
	 Feedback about length is provided by teachers at checkpoints. 	
	After all these strategies have been implemented, if the student's response exceeds the	
	word length required by the syllabus, the school with either:	
	 Mark only the work up to the required length, excluding evidence over the 	
	prescribed limit	
Authenticating student responses Section 7.3.1	Accurate judgements of student achievement can only be made n student assessment responses that are authenticated as their own work.	
	Saint Mary's Catholic College uses the authentication strategies promoted by the	
	QCAA. The authentication strategies will be specified on assessment instruments.	
	These include:	
	 submissions uploaded to Turnitin through TEAMS 	
	evidence provided at checkpoints	
	evidence provided on drafts	
	 teacher observation in the classroom Years 7 – 9 only. 	
	In cases where a student response is not authenticated as a student's own work,	
	procedures for managing alleged academic misconduct will be followed.	
Access arrangements	Applications for AARA	
and reasonable adjustments (AARA),	Saint Mary's Catholic College is committed to reducing barriers to success for all students. AARA are actions taken by the school to minimise, as much as possible,	
including illness and	barriers for a student whose disability, impairment, medical condition or other	
misadventure (AARA)	circumstances may affect their ability to read, respond to or participate in assessment.	
Section 6	The College follows the processes as outlined in the OCE and OCIA policy and	
	The College follows the processes as outlined in the QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook available from www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/senior/certificates-and-	
	qualifications/qce-qcia-handbook-2019	
	The College Principal/Principal's delegate manages all approval of AARA for students.	

ation AA ťs file
l S IIIe
iture ible
nce
e of
sed
the
the ed in
ar.
eet
ible
red to
eu io
oject
ling
ation
cation Ə

External assessment administration

QCE and QCIA policy	Policy and procedures	
and procedures	Foncy and procedures	
handbook		
External assessment is developed by QCAA	See the QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook (Section 7.3.2) and follow the External assessment (SEA) coordinator, teachers and students.	
for a General and General (Extension) subjects Section 7.3.2 Section 10.3 Section 10.4	Saint Mary's Catholic College is governed by the requirements of QCAA. QCAA published rules for External Assessment at the beginning of each year. These rules will be provided to staff, students and parents when available. QCAA Guidelines include the following information:	
See also: External assessment – administration guide (provided to schools each year)	 Saint Mary's Catholic College: communicates rules and expectations for external assessment to the school community including teachers, students and parents/carers maintains the security of external assessment materials provides supervision and conditions that comply with the external assessment schedule and guidelines 	
	 School External Assessment (SEA) coordinators: ensure that all external assessment guidelines and rules are shared with and understood by teachers and students supervise external assessment, ensuring no undue assistance is provided that contributes to a student's assessment response 	
	 Teachers: comply with rules and expectations when supervising the external assessment inform students that the SEA coordinator will be advised of any alleged incident of academic misconduct report incidents of suspected or observed academic misconduct to the SEA coordinator 	
	 Students: read and comply with the external assessment student rules and information provided by the school understand the importance of academic integrity when completing external assessment and what constitutes academic misconduct are aware that if unauthorised material is taken into an assessment room, regardless of whether an attempt is made to use that material, they are in breach of the regulations Breaches of the external assessment rules are a form of academic misconduct. 	
	 If an alleged incident of academic misconduct by a student is detected, the SEA coordinator is to: permit the student to complete the assessment inform the student that an academic misconduct incident report must be completed and submitted to the QCAA report an alleged incident of academic misconduct to the QCAA complete an academic misconduct incident report that includes: a statement from the SEA coordinator and/or invigilator/s which may include witness statements and any relevant circumstances leading up to the incident and details of the discussion after the completion of the assessment a seating plan of each assessment room at all assessment venues return it to the QCAA either with the completed external assessment 	
	response or within 24 hours of the alleged incident occurring, whichever is sooner Non-compliance of External assessment guidelines will be investigated by QCAA. Examples of non-compliance includes: • rescheduling an external assessment without authorisation from the QCAA	

 not keeping the external assessment materials secure prior to the scheduled assessment time
 accessing external assessment materials, the assessment venue or assessment room without authorisation from the school or QCAA
opening external assessment packages before the time appointed by the QCAA
 providing a student with undue assistance in the production of any work that contributes to their external assessment response
 leaving students unsupervised or inadequately supervised during external assessment
 allowing additional time for the external assessment without authorisation from the QCAA
 administering unapproved access arrangements and reasonable adjustments (AARA)

Managing academic misconduct

Saint Mary's Catholic College is committed to supporting students to complete assessment and to submit work that is their own, and minimising opportunities for academic misconduct. There may be a situation when a student inappropriately and falsely demonstrates their learning.

For authorship issues:

When authorship of student work cannot be established, or a response is not entirely a student's own work the College will provide an opportunity for the student to demonstrate that the submitted response is their own work.

For all instances of academic misconduct:

Results will be awarded using any evidence from the preparation of the response that is available that is verifiably the student's own work and that was gathered in the conditions specified by the syllabus, on or before the due date.

The following are some examples of academic misconduct along with the procedures for managing them:

	Types of misconduct	Procedures for managing academic misconduct
Cheating while under supervised conditions – internal assessment	A student begins to write during perusal time	Student is provided with a clean copy of the assessment item at the beginning of writing time
	A student continues to write after the instruction to stop writing is given A student uses authorised equipment or	Work completed after the instruction is not marked Student is awarded an NR for the
	materials	assessment item
	A student has any notation written on the body, clothing or any object brought into an assessment room	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item
Misconduct while under supervised conditions – external assessment	A student communicates with any person other than a supervisor during an examination, e.g. through speaking, signing, electronic device or other means such as passing notes, making gestures or sharing equipment with another student	Consequences applied as per QCAA document <i>"External Assessment Student Rules</i> ' which is distributed to students at the beginning of each year.
Collusion	Any misconduct carried out during external assessment	Student is removed from the examination venue and an NR is awarded for the assessment item
	When more than one student works to produce a response and that response is submitted as individual work by one or multiple students	Credit is applied only to parts of the submission that can be verified as the student's own work
Contract Cheating	When a student assists another student to commit an act of academic misconduct to student gives or receives a response to an assessment	All students involved are awarded an NR for the assessment item
	A student pays for a person or a service to complete a response to an assessment	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item

Copying Work	A student sells or trades a response to an assessment	All students involved are awarded an NR for the assessment item
	A student deliberately or knowingly	All students involved are awarded an NR for
	makes it possible for another student to	the assessment item
	copy response	
	A student looks at another student's	Student is awarded an NR for the
	work during an exam	assessment item
Disclosing or	A student copies another student's work	Student is awarded an NR for the
receiving information	during an exam	assessment item
about an assessment	A student gives accesses unauthorised	All students involved are awarded an NR for
	information that compromises the	the assessment item.
	integrity of the assessment, such as	
	stimulus or suggested	
	answers/responses, prior to completing	
	a response to an assessment	
Fabricating	A student makes any attempt to give or	Student is awarded a NR for this assessment
	receive access to secure assessment	item
	materials	
	A student invents or exaggerates data	Credit will be awarded only to work where
		data can be verified as true and correct
	A student lists incorrect of fictitious	Credit will be awarded only to work that is
Impersonation	references	correctly referenced
Impersonation	A student arranges for another person to complete a response to an assessment	A result of NR will be applied to the assessment item
	in their place, e.g. impersonating the	
	student in a performance or supervised	
	assessment	
	A student completes a response to an	A result of NR will be applied to the
	assessment in place of another student	assessment item
Misconduct during an	A student distracts and/or disrupts	The student will be removed from the exam
examination	others in an assessment room	and an NR will be applied to the assessment
		item
Plagiarism or lack of	A student completely or partially copies	Work that has been plagiarised is
referencing	or alters another person's work without	highlighted. Work that is authenticated as the
	attribution (another person's work may	student's own will be used to provide a result
	include text, audio or audio-visual	
	material, figures, tables, design, images,	
O alfanta al antesia	information or ideas)	
Self-plagiarism	A student duplicates work, or part of	Credit will be applied only to work that has
	work already submitted as a response to	been submitted for the current assessment
	an assessment instrument in the same	item. Previously submitted work will receive
Provision of	or any other subject A student completes or partially	no credit All students involved will receive an NR for
Assessment	completes an assessment item and	the assessment item
Response to Another	voluntarily provides it to another student	
Student	to assist them in completing the item	
Significant	A student arranges for, or allows, a	A result will be provided on work that was
contribution of help	tutor, parent/carer or any person in a	completed during class
P	supporting role to complete or contribute	,
	significantly to the response	
	significantly to the response	

Related school policy and procedures

Refer to other school policies as appropriate:

- behaviour support policy
- senior schooling policy (including VET)
- appropriate use of electronic devices and resources policy
- internal moderation policy (including school procedures for endorsement and confirmation)
- teacher handbook.